

Bonnie MacNaughton (SBN 107402)  
Conner G. Peretti (*pro hac vice*)  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200  
Seattle, Washington 98101-3045  
Telephone: (206) 622-3150  
Facsimile: (206) 757-7700  
Email: [bonniemacnaughton@dwt.com](mailto:bonniemacnaughton@dwt.com)  
[connerperetti@dwt.com](mailto:connerperetti@dwt.com)

John D. Freed (SBN 261518)  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 276-6500  
Fax: (415) 276-6599  
Email: jakefreed@dwt.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
**MICROSOFT CORPORATION**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

1 WHEREAS Defendants A&S Electronics, Inc. and Alan Z. Lin (“Defendants”) filed a  
2 Motion to Dismiss Microsoft’s First Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 26); and

3 WHEREAS on December 11, 2015, the Court issued a Tentative Ruling granting  
4 Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss with leave to amend (Dkt. No. 37), and directing the parties to  
5 either stipulate to the entry of the Tentative Ruling or to appear for the scheduled oral argument on  
6 Defendants’ Motion; and

7 WHEREAS on December 14, 2015, the parties stipulated to entry of the Tentative Ruling  
8 (Dkt. No. 38); and

9 WHEREAS on December 14, 2015, the Court entered the parties’ stipulation (Dkt. No. 39),  
10 and directed Microsoft to file its Second Amended Complaint on or before January 12, 2016; and

11 WHEREAS on January 12, 2016, Microsoft filed its Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. No.  
12 40), in which it alleged the terms of two license agreements that it contends support its claim for  
13 contributory copyright infringement pursuant to the reasoning in the Court’s December 11, 2015  
14 Tentative Ruling; and

15 WHEREAS on January 29, 2016, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Microsoft’s Second  
16 Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 41), and noticed a hearing for March 8, 2016; and

17 WHEREAS in the process of preparing its Opposition to Defendants’ pending Motion to  
18 Dismiss, Microsoft discovered a discrepancy in the terms of one of the license agreements alleged  
19 in the Second Amended Complaint—the Microsoft Software License Agreement for Microsoft  
20 Office 2013 Desktop Application Software (“Office 2013 License Agreement”)—relating to the  
21 transferability of Microsoft Office 2013 software; and

22 WHEREAS Microsoft is otherwise fully prepared to file its Opposition to Defendants’  
23 Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint by the February 12, 2016 deadline; and

24 WHEREAS the parties agree that the litigation is best served if the Court’s decision is  
25 rendered on the basis of the correct language in the Office 2013 License Agreement; and

WHEREAS the parties agree that, given the significance of Microsoft's license agreements to the Court's reasoning in the December 11, 2015 Tentative Ruling, Microsoft should amend its Complaint to set forth the exact terms of the Office 2013 License Agreement before the Court adjudicates the merits of Microsoft's allegations.

NOW THEREFORE, the parties HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. Microsoft is granted leave to file a Third Amended Complaint to allege the exact terms governing the Office 2013 License Agreement;

2. Microsoft shall file its Third Amended Complaint within three (3) court days of the filing of this Stipulation;

3. Upon filing of Microsoft's Third Amended Complaint, Defendants' pending Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 41) will be moot and should be taken off calendar.

4. Defendants shall have twenty (20) days to move to dismiss, answer, or otherwise respond to Microsoft's Third Amended Complaint from the date on which it is filed.

## IT IS SO STIPULATED.

## ORDER

Pursuant to the above stipulation of the parties, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 17, 2016

Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers  
YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT